1	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT							
2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS							
3	HOUSTON DIVISION							
4	IN RE: \$ CASE NO. 22-90273-11 \$ HOUSTON, TEXAS							
5	MINING PROJECT WIND DOWN § MONDAY,							
6	HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL, S SEPTEMBER 11, 2023 DEBTORS. S 1:29 P.M. TO 3:01 P.M.							
7	MOTION HEARING (VIA ZOOM)							
8								
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARVIN ISGUR UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE							
10								
11								
12	APPEARANCES: SEE NEXT PAGE							
13								
14								
15	(Recorded via CourtSpeak; No log notes) (Issues with the Judge's mic noted.)							
16								
17								
18								
19								
20	TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE BY:							
21	JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC 935 Eldridge Road, #144							
22	Sugar Land, TX 77478 281-277-5325							
23	www.judicialtranscribers.com							
24								
25	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.							

1	APPEARANCES (VIA ZOOM):						
2							
3		ASK LLP Nicholas Brown, Esq.					
4 5		2600 Eagan Woods Dr., Ste. 400 St. Paul, MN 55121 651-406-9665					
6		031-400-3003					
7	FOR BOBS LIMITED:	HOOVER SLOVACEK, LLP Steven A. Leyh, Esq. 5051 Westheimer Rd. Ste. 1200 Houston, TX 77024 713-977-8686					
8							
9							
10							
11							
12	(Please also see Electronic Ap	pearances.)					
13		-					
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1		INDEX			
2	WITNESSES:	Direct	Cross	Redirect	Recross
3	WITHESSES.	DITECT	<u>C1055</u>	Neditect	Kecross
4	PETER ALLARD By Mr. Brown	10			
5		10			
6					
7	EXHIBITS:		Off	ered Red	ceived
9	<pre>PLAN ADMINISTRATOR'S: Ex. 3</pre>			12	13
10	Ex. 5 Ex. 8			13 18	13 18
11	Ex. 10 Ex. 11			24 44	2 4 4 4
12	Ex. 13 Ex. 15			46 19	46 19
13	Ex. 16 Ex. 19			28	28 32
14	Ex. 20			49	49
15					
16		***			
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

HOUSTON, TEXAS; MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 11, 2023; 1:29 P.M.

THE COURT: All right, good afternoon. We're here in the Mining Project Wind Down case. It is 22-90273. To appear on that case, if you would please press five star one time on your phone, we'll get your line activated and we'll proceed with the hearing.

Mr. Brown, good afternoon.

MR. BROWN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. This is
Nick Brown appearing on behalf of the Plan Administrator who
has filed the objection to claim.

THE COURT: Thank you. From a Canadian phone number, 780-983-8159, who do we have on the line?

(No audible response.)

THE COURT: If you're calling from that number, you may have your own line muted. We have you unmuted in the courtroom.

MR. ALLARD: Yes, I did. Good afternoon, Your Honor. Peter Allard here representing True North Data Solutions.

THE COURT: Afternoon, Mr. Allard.

Ms. (Indiscernible) or someone from her office.

MR. LEYH: This is Steve Leyh, Your Honor. I'm here on behalf of the claimant, Bobs Limited.

THE COURT: Mr. Leyh, thank you. Good afternoon.

All right, how do you want to proceed today?

```
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is Nick Brown on
 1
   behalf of Plan Administrator. Let's see. So we plan on
 2
 3
   proceeding with the objections and claim. There has been a
    response filed. There's been a witness and exhibit list
 4
 5
    filed by both parties.
 6
              More recently today, after receiving documents and
 7
    discovery over the course of the weekend, the Plan
   Administrator filed an amended witness and exhibit list on
 9
    Docket 1251. And the amended agenda, Your Honor, is at
    Docket 1252.
10
              Maybe I should pause, make sure Mr. Leyh has
11
12
    access here.
13
              MR. LEYH: Yes, we do now.
14
              MR. BROWN: Okay.
15
              THE COURT: Thank you.
              MR. BROWN: So, Your Honor, so we plan on
16
17
    proceeding here. On behalf of the Plan Administrator, we
18
   have brought two witnesses with us. Mr. Allard will
19
    introduce himself at the beginning as a non-party witness
20
    that is involved factually in the circumstances of this
21
    case.
22
              Also, Mr. Tribolet, who is here on behalf of the
23
    Plan Administrator, Tribolet Advisors, LLC, he is here and
24
   prepared to testify as well.
```

THE COURT: Mr. Leyh.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LEYH: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Steve Leyh on behalf of Bobs Limited. We have a witness, Michael Merchant, who is here and prepared to testify. THE COURT: All right. And on the offer of exhibits, have you all reached any understanding of what is and is not admissible? MR. BROWN: We have not come to an understanding prior to this hearing, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Leyh, were you aware that there would be a late-filed exhibit list? Is that because of something you (indiscernible) or what's going on with that? MR. LEYH: There was an amended exhibit list filed today, Your Honor. The parties actually didn't get all of the discovery responses, part of which came from nonparties, until I think Thursday and -- or Friday. And then part of my responses we had difficulty with and we didn't get those to Mr. Brown until Saturday. THE COURT: So you're not objecting to the latefiled exhibit -- or you may object to some individual exhibits but you're not going to make a timeliness objection under those circumstances, I assume. MR. LEYH: Correct. THE COURT: Okay. How do you all want to go about figuring what we're admitting and not admitting in terms of

```
exhibits? Are we doing these through witnesses, reach an
 1
    agreement (indiscernible) --
 2
 3
              MR. SPEAKER: (Indiscernible).
 4
              MR. BROWN: My plan was to do it through
 5
    witnesses.
 6
              THE COURT: All right. Who's your first witness,
 7
   Mr. Brown?
              MR. BROWN: Your Honor, (indiscernible) --
 8
 9
              MR. LEYH: (Indiscernible) --
10
              MR. BROWN: Go ahead.
              MR. BROWN: My plan was the same, Your Honor.
11
12
              THE COURT: That's fine. Thank you.
13
              MR. BROWN: Your Honor, thank you. And I do want
14
    to get started by calling our first witness.
              I do want to bring the Court's attention to a
15
   motion in limine that we filed in relation to -- and asking
16
    the Court to exclude certain evidence that relates to lost
17
18
   profits.
19
              The reason being, Your Honor, this is essentially
20
    a breach of contract claim, and the issues arise under the
21
    terms of that contract. You'll find the contract at Docket
22
    1261-1, the very first exhibit on our list. It's the
23
    contract between the claimant, Bobs Limited, and the Debtor,
24
    Compute North, LLC.
25
              And the basis for asking the Court to exclude
```

evidence about lost profits is that there is a clear limitation of liability clause, clause number 14, of the contract that says there will be no consequential damages, including lost profits, available to the claimant.

And so I understand, Your Honor, based on the -or the exhibit list that I've seen submitted by Bobs Limited
and the response to our claim objections they do intend or
would like to offer into evidence about the earnings that
they would have generated from the bitcoin miners that they
ordered but never received, including a forecast of earnings
and profits that they would have received into the future.

And we don't think that that's relevant, Your Honor, based on 14.2 under the contract which says there will be no consequential damages for lost profits.

THE COURT: Mr. Leyh.

MR. LEYH: Thank you, Your Honor. We received the motion in limine on Friday. We are filing a response to it now.

Our contention is that there was originally the contract, Exhibit 1, and then there came to be a breach of the contract. And the contract was terminated by Compute North.

There was a subsequent contract which there is no writing for, an oral contract, which we think takes it out of the preview of the restrictions under Section 14 of the

contract and then -- if it was still in force.

And then there was a second contract after the first two -- or, excuse me, a third contract after the first two, same thing. It's oral, there's no modification language, no writing. And we don't think it's prohibited by the terms of the first contract.

So we're opposed to the motion in limine and we think the evidence needs to come in and be considered.

THE COURT: All right. Given the lateness of the filing of the motion in limine, I will take the evidence (indiscernible) I will consider in making a final decision when we have the other evidence (indiscernible), so I'll just take all of that under advisement. But I'm not going to not allow the evidence to be offered and heard; whether it ever gets considered is a different question.

Let's move ahead.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The Plan Administrator would like to call as its first witness Mr. Peter Allard.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Allard, would you raise your hand, please, sir?

PETER ALLARD, TRIBOLET ADVISORS, LLC'S WITNESS, SWORN

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Allard. You can put
your hand down and you'll be asked questions by both
parties.

```
MR. BROWN: Good afternoon, Mr. Allard. Thank you
1
 2
    for being here today. Can you hear me okay?
 3
              THE WITNESS: Yes, I can.
 4
              MR. BROWN: Okay, thanks. Once again for the
 5
   record, my name is Nick Brown, appearing here as counsel for
 6
   the Plan Administrator in the Mining Project Wind Down
   Holdings, Inc. bankruptcy case.
7
8
                         DIRECT EXAMINATION
   BY MR. BROWN:
 9
10
        And, Mr. Allard, did you receive a subpoena to appear
   at this hearing today?
11
        Yes, I did.
12
   Α
        Okay. What is your full name, please?
13
       Peter Allard.
14
   Α
15
        Are you affiliated with true -- a company called True
   North Data Solutions, U.S., Incorporated?
16
17
        Yes, I am.
   Α
18
        What is your position with that company?
19
         I'm the president of True North.
20
        Where is True North Data Solutions, U.S., Incorporated
21
   located?
22
        Austin, Texas.
   Α
2.3
         Can you generally describe for the Court what it is
24
   that your company, True North, does (indiscernible)
25
   operation?
```

- 1 | A True North Data Solutions, U.S., Inc. is a
- 2 U.S.-domiciled entity that specifically engages in the sale
- 3 |of high performance computer servers, including bitcoin
- 4 | miners.
- $5 \parallel Q$ Are you familiar with the company Compute North, LLC?
- $6 \parallel A \qquad Yes, I am.$
- 7 \mathbb{Q} How are you familiar with that company?
- 8 | A True North, previous to our equipment purchase
- 9 | agreement in question, had relationships with some of the
- 10 | senior executives at Compute North and have been industry
- 11 | friends for some time.
- 12 | Q Has True North engaged in business with Compute North,
- 13 || LLC?
- 14 | A Yes, we have.
- 15 || Q Okay. I'd like to turn -- Mr. Allard, do you have
- 16 | access to the Plan Administrator's exhibits?
- 17 | A Yes, I do.
- 18 \parallel Q Okay. I'd like for you to turn your attention to what
- 19 | has been marked Exhibit Number 3, which is a purchase
- 20 | agreement.
- 21 | A Okay.
- 22 MR. BROWN: And let me pause just to make sure.
- 23 | Does Your Honor have access to Exhibit 3 as well?
- 24 | THE COURT: I do. I'm (indiscernible) on the
- 25 || screen so that we're all looking at it at the same time as

```
1 \parallel well.
```

- 2 MR. BROWN: Okay. Let me pause for a second, make
- 3 | sure I can do that here. All right, thank you, Your Honor.
- 4 | So you have that up on the screen; is that right?
- 5 | THE COURT: I do, yes.
- 6 MR. BROWN: Okay, thank you.
- 7 | BY MR. BROWN:
- 8 | Q All right, Mr. Allard, can you tell me what this
- 9 | document is?
- 10 | A It's an equipment purchase agreement between True North
- 11 | Data Solutions, U.S., Inc. and Compute North, LLC.
- 12 | Q All right. And so what is this agreement memorializing
- 13 | here? Looks like someone was purchasing bitcoin miners from
- 14 | your company; is that right?
- 15 $\|A\|$ That is correct. Compute North was purchasing bitcoin
- 16 | mining servers from our company.
- 17 | Q And there were about 600 miners purchased under the
- 18 | terms of this agreement; is that right?
- 19 A Yes, that is accurate.
- 20 Q And the purchase price, I can find that under clause
- 21 | one of this agreement on the first page; is that right?
- 22 A Yes, that is accurate.
- 23 | MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this time I'd like to
- 24 | offer Exhibit 3 into evidence.
- 25 THE COURT: Any objection?

```
MR. LEYH: No objection.
1
 2
         (Plan Administrator Exhibit Number 3 was received in
 3
   evidence.)
 4
   BY MR. BROWN:
 5
        Now, the purchase price is on clause one. And if I
 6
   understand it, the total amount of the purchase price,
   according to this document, is $2,460,000; is that right?
7
8
        Yes, that is correct.
 9
        And it looks like there is an 80 percent deposit due
10
   shortly after this agreement was entered into and then the
11
   20 percent balance was due at a later date; is that right?
12
        Yes, that is correct.
13
         Okay. I'd like to turn your attention to a new
   exhibit. It's Exhibit Number 5, Mr. Allard. Please let me
14
15
   know once you have it up.
16
        Yes, I can see that.
17
         Is this an invoice that True North sent to Compute
18
   North in connection with the agreement that we were just
   talking about?
19
20
   Α
       Yes, it is.
21
              MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'd like to offer Exhibit
22
   Number 5 into evidence.
23
              THE COURT: Any objection?
24
              MR. LEYH: No objection.
25
              THE COURT: 5's admitted.
```

```
(Plan Administrator's Exhibit Number 5 was received in
1
   evidence.)
 2
 3
              MR. BROWN: Thank you.
 4
   BY MR. BROWN:
 5
        Mr. Allard, according to this exhibit -- excuse me,
   this invoice, if I'm reading it correctly, did True North
 6
   receive a payment of $1,968,000?
7
         To the best of my knowledge, yes, we did.
8
 9
         Is that your understanding -- is that what this invoice
10
   is showing, based on your understanding?
         Yes, it is.
11
12
        And does that correspond to the 80 percent deposit for
   the 600 miners that we were just talking about?
13
14
              MR. LEYH: Objection, leading.
              THE COURT: Sustained.
15
   BY MR. BROWN:
16
        Mr. Allard, what is this $1.968 million payment for?
17
18
         To the best of my knowledge it would be an 80 percent
   payment per the terms of conditions for 600 MV7 Miners, plus
19
20
   a price increase of 15 percent.
21
         Was the 15 percent price increase included in the
22
   original purchase agreement?
23
   Α
        No, it was not.
24
         Can you explain to the Court how that price increase
25
   came about?
```

- 1 A The 15 percent price increase came about by way of the 2 manufacturer of the equipment.
- 3 MR. LEYH: Objection, hearsay.
- 4 | THE COURT: I'm going to let you lay a foundation,
- 5 | Mr. Brown, and see if it's hearsay or not. I don't know if
- 6 Hit is.
- 7 | BY MR. BROWN:
- 8 | Q Well, so, Mr. Allard, let me ask you a few more
- 9 | questions about this 15 percent price increase. Is the
- 10 | price increase here something that True North charged
- 11 | Compute North?
- 12 |A| Yes, it is.
- 13 | Q And so was True North charging Compute North an
- 14 | additional 15 percent on the original purchase price?
- 15 $\|A\|$ Yes, that is correct.
- 16 | Q Okay. And so if I'm understanding this invoice, there
- 17 was a remaining amount due after the deposit of \$861,000; is
- 18 | that right?
- 19 | A Without pulling my calculator up, yes, that appears to
- 20 | be correct.
- 21 | Q All right. Mr. Allard, I'd like to turn your -- excuse
- 22 | me. Did you have correspondence with Compute North in
- 23 | connection with the 15 percent price increase?
- $24 \parallel A$ Yes, we did.
- 25 Q Do you recall the specifics about that correspondence

```
with Compute North?
1
         Yeah. To the best of my recollection, when the price
 2
 3
   increase was communicated from the manufacturer to True
   North, we then communicated --
 4
 5
              MR. LEYH: Objection, hearsay.
 6
              THE COURT: Overruled.
7
              Go ahead, you can answer.
   BY MR. BROWN:
8
9
         The information was received from the manufacturer by
10
   True North. We then communicated, passed through the
11
   communication and requested price increase to all of our
   clients, including Compute North, and extended the offer to
12
13
   either make the payment and continue with the purchase order
   or to terminate the purchase order and request a refund.
14
15
        All right. What sort of a response generally did you
   get from your customers when they -- when you told them that
16
   you were charging them an additional 15 percent?
17
18
        Generally a very disappointed response. Some clients
    elected to pay the price increase, some did not. And for
19
20
   those clients, they were fully refunded.
21
         I'd like to turn your attention to what has been marked
22
   Plan Administrator Exhibit Number 8; could you let me know
2.3
   once you have that up?
```

JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC

MR. LEYH: Hello, Steve Leyh here. Have we lost

24

25

(Pause)

```
1 | the audio?
```

- 2 THE COURT: I can hear you.
- 3 MR. BROWN: I don't -- I believe we're waiting.
- 4 | BY MR. BROWN:
- 5 | Q Mr. Allard, do you have Exhibit Number 8 up?
- 6 A Oh, pardon me, yes, I do.
- 7 | Q Thank you. Mr. Allard, could you take a minute to look
- 8 | through this exhibit which is an email chain between you and
- 9 | representatives of Compute North?
- 10 A Yes, I have it up and am familiar with it.
- 11 \parallel Q All right. Is this an example of what you were just
- 12 | talking about where you informed a customer that you are
- 13 | increasing a purchase price for these bitcoin miners by 15
- 14 | percent and their response to that notice?
- 15 | A Yes, it is.
- 16 Q Now, for Compute North specifically, and in connection
- 17 | with this order for 600 miners that Compute North ordered
- 18 | through your company, did Compute North agree to the 15
- 19 | percent increase?
- 20 A Yes, they did for the 600 units.
- 21 \parallel Q Okay. Were there -- was there an additional order for
- 22 | additional units where Compute North did not agree to the 15
- 23 | percent increase?
- 24 | A Yes, there was.
- 25 | Q And I'm looking at the bottom of the first page which

```
is an email from a representative of Compute North to you.
1
 2
   Can you tell me what is being discussed in that particular
 3
   email?
 4
         In this email of the clients that Compute North would
   have had they passed through this information. To my
 6
   knowledge, the clients that made up the 600-unit order
   agreed to pay the price increase. One of the clients making
7
   up the 250-unit order did not agree to the price increase
 9
   and requested a refund.
10
         Do you know who the clients of Compute North were that
   made up these 600 units?
11
12
         To my knowledge there were two, of which one of them
13
   was Bobs Limited.
14
        And how many of those 600 units were for Bobs Limited?
15
        To my knowledge 300 of those units were intended for
16
   Bobs Limited.
17
              MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'd like to offer into
18
   evidence Exhibit 8.
19
              THE COURT: Any objection?
20
              MR. LEYH: No, sir.
21
```

THE COURT: Exhibit 8 is admitted.

(Plan Administrator Exhibit Number 8 was received in evidence.)

BY MR. BROWN: 24

22

23

25

Mr. Allard, you just referenced that there was an order

```
that Compute North placed with your company for 250 miners,
1
 2
    correct?
 3
         Yes, that's correct.
 4
         I'd like to turn your attention to what has been marked
   Plan Administrator Exhibit Number 15; do you have that up?
 6
         Yes, I can see that.
7
         Is this the purchase agreement that memorializes that
   order from Compute North for 250 miners?
 9
   Α
         Yes, it is.
10
              MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'd like to offer Exhibit
    15 into evidence, please.
11
              MR. LEYH: Objection, relevance, not our order.
12
13
              MR. BROWN: I can come back to this, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Well, what's the relevance?
14
15
              MR. BROWN: Well, okay, the relevance is that what
16
   we are -- what I'm about to show is that there was a deposit
   paid on this order that I'm asking Mr. Allard about that was
17
18
   ultimately applied to the purchase price for the 600 miners
19
    that are all very relevant to this hearing.
20
              THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the relevance
    objection.
21
22
              MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: 15 is admitted. Go ahead.
24
         (Plan Administrator Exhibit Number 15 was received in
25
   evidence.)
```

- 1 BY MR. BROWN:
- 2 | Q All right, just one question on this Exhibit 15,
- 3 Mr. Allard. Under section one you'll see purchase price
- 4 || information; is that right?
- $5 \parallel A$ Yes, that is correct.
- 6 | Q And how much was the deposit on this particular order?
- 7 || A The 80 percent deposit was \$820,000.
- 8 | Q And did Compute North pay True North that \$820,000
- 9 | deposit?
- 10 A Yes, they did.
- 11 ||Q| Now what happened with this order; was it ever filled?
- 12 A No. To the best of my recollection this order was not
- 13 | filled.
- 14 | Q Okay. If I remember correctly you were saying that
- 15 | perhaps this particular client didn't appreciate the 15
- 16 | percent price adjustment and they canceled the order; is
- 17 | that right?
- 18 MR. LEYH: Objection, leading.
- 19 | THE COURT: Sustained.
- 20 BY MR. BROWN:
- 21 | Q Mr. Allard, do you recall why this order was not
- 22 | fulfilled?
- 23 | A Yes. The client was unwilling to pay the price
- 24 | increase of 15 percent.
- 25 Q Did True North offer a refund to Compute North for its

- 1 | deposit?
- $2 \parallel A$ Yes, we did.
- 3 $\|Q\|$ Was that money ultimately refunded to Compute North?
- 4 | A To the best of my recollection a portion of that refund
- 5 was applied to fulfill the remaining amount due for the 600-
- 6 | unit order.
- 7 ||Q| Do you remember what percentage of that deposit money
- 8 was applied to the 600-unit order?
- 9 A Apologies, not off the top of my head, no. But it
- 10 | would have been whatever the full balance of what was
- 11 | remaining was.
- 12 | Q I'd like to turn your attention to what has been marked
- 13 | Plan Administrator Exhibit 10. Mr. Allard, who is Heather
- 14 | Boyer?
- 15 | A Heather Boyer is a former employee of True North who
- 16 | had the role of financial control.
- 17 | Q Would Ms. Boyer have been keeping track of things such
- 18 \parallel as the receipts of revenues and the application of funds on
- 19 | purchase orders?
- 20 | A Yes, she would have.
- 21 | Q Okay. If you look at Exhibit 10, the -- it's an email
- 22 | chain. The last email at the bottom is an email from
- 23 | Ms. Boyer to a Compute North representative; do you see
- 24 | that?
- 25 | A Yes, I do.

```
It's dated November 3rd, 2021. Could you read
1
         Okay.
 2
   aloud what Ms. Boyer is saying to the Compute North
 3
   representative?
 4
         Yes. Ms. Boyer says:
 5
              "Hi, Ro. Given the progress on the Minerva units,
 6
              shipping, it is appropriate to address the balance
7
              owing on the account. The payment of 820,000 made
              on the canceled order was applied to the order for
8
 9
              600 units, leaving the balance of 41,000 owing.
10
              If you could please have this wire processed,
```

Q Thank you.

11

12

13

14

15

16

Mr. Allard, does this email help to refresh your memory about how much of that \$820,000 deposit on the canceled order was applied to the 600-unit order?

preferably by November 5, that would be

appreciated. Thanks kindly, Heather Boyer."

- 17 A Yes, it does.
- 18 Q And do you agree with the substance of this email which 19 says that in fact 820K was applied?
- 20 | A Yes, I do.
- 21 Q This email also says that there was a balance of 22 \$41,000 owing by Compute North; is that your recollection?
- 23 A Vaguely but, yes, it is.
- Q Do you recall if that remaining \$41,000 was paid by Compute North?

- 1 A I do believe it was, yes. From my recollection there
 2 were no monies outstanding from Compute North.
- 3 \mathbb{Q} Okay. So just to be clear, on the 600-unit order that
- 4 | Compute North placed with True North for I forget how much
- 5 | the total purchase price was now, but you're saying
- 6 | basically that Compute North has paid in full the amount it
- 7 | is owed -- or that was owed for the 600 units; is that
- 8 || right?
- 9 MR. LEYH: Objection, leading.
- 10 | THE COURT: Sustained.
- 11 | BY MR. BROWN:
- 12 | Q Mr. Allard, can you please just confirm is there any
- 13 | amount that Compute North owes to True North for the 600-
- 14 | unit order that was placed back in February, 2021?
- 15 A No. To the best of my recollection the 600-unit order
- 16 has been paid in full by Compute North.
- 17 | Q Mr. Allard, the email chain here involves someone named
- 18 Mr. Ro Shirole; do you see that?
- 19 | A Yes, I do.
- 20 Q Was that someone that you communicated with in
- 21 | connection with the Compute North (indiscernible)?
- 22 | THE COURT: (Indiscernible), Mr. Allard, take care
- 23 ||of your children (indiscernible) let's not worry about it.
- 24 | It's fine. Do you need a break or can we -- you okay now?
- 25 | Are we good?

```
THE WITNESS: I'm okay if you guys are.
1
 2
              MR. SPEAKER: We're good.
 3
              MR. BROWN: Okay.
 4
   BY MR. BROWN:
 5
        Mr. Allard, could you explain generally to the Court
   what -- who were your dealings with when you were
 6
   communicating with Compute North?
7
         Our primary point of contact with True North at that
8
 9
   time was Ro Shirole.
10
        And who on your end was doing most of the communicating
11
   with Compute North?
        Would have been a combination of myself and Ro, or
12
   Heather at that time from a financial perspective of
13
   invoicing and other financial communications.
14
              MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'd like to admit -- or
15
    like to offer into evidence Exhibit Number 10, please.
16
17
              THE COURT: Mr. Leyh.
18
              MR. LEYH: No objection.
              THE COURT: Ten is admitted.
19
20
         (Plan Administrator Exhibit Number 10 was received in
21
   evidence.)
22
   BY MR. BROWN:
23
        Now, Mr. Allard, does True North manufacture these
   bitcoin miners?
24
25
   Α
        No, we do not.
```

- Q So is it the case that True North has to obtain these miners from a third party manufacturer?
- $3 \parallel A$ Yes, that is correct.
- 4 \parallel Q So regarding these 600 units that Compute North ordered
- 5 || from your company, from whom did True North order the
- 6 | bitcoin miners to fulfill that Compute North order?
- 7 | A Just two companies that we purchased equipment from, or
- 8 | what's known as the Minerva semiconductor brand of
- 9 | equipment. Previous to Minerva incorporating as an entity
- 10 | in Alberta, the equipment was purchased directly from a
- 11 | company called HongKong Kisen Corporation.
- 12 | Minerva Semiconductor Corporation later became the
- 13 | North American facing entity for the Hong Kong Chinese
- 14 | entity for all their manufacturing efforts. So our total
- 15 orders were split between those two companies.
- 16 | Q After receiving this purchase order for 600 units from
- 17 | Compute North, did True North turn around and place an order
- 18 | with the manufacturer for these units?
- 19 A Yes, we did.
- 20 | Q Was it for 600 units or did True North order a
- 21 | different quantity?
- 22 | A True North ordered a different quantity.
- 23 ||Q| And why was that?
- 24 A True North had additional purchase orders that were
- 25 combined into a larger purchase order.

- 1 | Q And True North order more than 600 units from the 2 | manufacturer, correct?
- $3 \parallel A$ Yes, that's correct.
- 4 | Q I'd like to turn your attention to Plan Administrator
- 5 | Exhibit Number 16, please. Once you're there, can you tell
- 6 | me what this document is?
- 7 | (Pause)
- 8 | A Yes. This is an equipment purchase agreement between
- 9 | True North Data Solutions U.S., Inc. and Hongkong Kisen
- 10 | Corporation for the purchase of 2,406 miners.
- 11 | Q Were the -- so were the 600 units that Compute North
- 12 | ordered from True North included in these 2,406 miners that
- 13 | you ordered from the manufacturer here?
- 14 | A Yes, they were.
- 15 | Q And I see that this agreement was entered into maybe
- 16 | within a week after the 600-unit order that Compute North
- 17 | placed with your company; is that right?
- 18 $\|A\|$ Yes, it is.
- 19 $\|Q\|$ All right. And this agreement also has under clause
- 20 one a purchase price showing -- is it right that clause one
- 21 | shows how much True North was responsible for paying the
- 22 | manufacturer for these 2,400 miners?
- 23 | A Yes, that's correct.
- 24 \parallel Q Did True North pay the full purchase price to the
- 25 | manufacturer under this agreement?

- 1 A Yes, we did.
- 2 | Q And so just so I'm clear, it looks like under clause
- 3 | one, there's a little bit more than \$9 million owing under
- 4 | this particular agreement. Did True North pay over
- 5 | \$9 million to the manufacturer for these 2,406 miners?
- 6 A Yes, to the best of my recollection the 80 percent has
- 7 | been paid. The majority of the 20 percent has been paid. I
- 8 | believe there was a small sum withheld which was at the time
- 9 | that Minerva stopped delivering any equipment and this
- 10 | matter proceeded to legal action. But the 600 units in
- 11 | question were paid in full.
- 12 MR. BROWN: Okay, thank you, Mr. Allard. We'll
- 13 ||get to the problems with the order fulfillment in a little
- 14 | bit later.
- 15 \parallel Q But let me ask you. After True North entered into this
- 16 | purchase agreement with the manufacturer, did you
- 17 | communicate to Compute North that the miners had in fact
- 18 | been ordered from the manufacturer?
- 19 A Yes, that likely would have happened on a number of
- 20 occasions, either by text or by email.
- 21 | Q And did you communicate and make clear to Compute North
- 22 | that True North was not the manufacturer here, that in fact
- 23 || True North would need to rely on a third party manufacturer
- 24 | to fulfill Compute North's order?
- 25 MR. LEYH: Objection, leading.

```
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I don't think --
1
             THE COURT: I (indiscernible). Answer it,
2
   Mr. Allard.
 3
 4
             MR. LEYH: I'm sorry, Your Honor, I just --
 5
             THE WITNESS: Yes.
 6
             MR. LEYH: -- couldn't understand you. What?
7
             THE COURT: I didn't think it was leading. I'm
8
   overruling the objection.
9
             MR. LEYH: Thank you.
10
             THE COURT: (Indiscernible), thank you. Go ahead,
11
   Mr. Allard, you can answer.
   BY MR. BROWN:
12
       Yes, it would have been clearly communicated that True
13
   North was not the manufacturer and that Minerva and its
14
15
   affiliates were the manufacturer.
             MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'd like to offer
16
   Exhibit 16 into evidence, please.
17
18
              THE COURT: Any objection?
             MR. LEYH: No.
19
             THE COURT: 16 is admitted.
20
         (Plan Administrator Exhibit Number 16 was received in
21
22
   evidence.)
23
   BY MR. BROWN:
        Now, Mr. Allard, you just alluded to there being some
24
25
   problems with this order. Could you explain to the Court
```

- True North's issues with the manufacturer here in trying to obtain these miners?
- 3 A Yes. So through the order purchase there were some
- 4 estimated delivery dates that had been established for
- 5 | purchase orders of equipment. Those dates had come and
- 6 gone. Minerva and its representatives, both Kisen and its
- 7 | representatives, told us at both times that they're
- 8 experiencing issues with their semiconductor foundry, the
- 9 group of the supply chain that's responsible for
- 10 | manufacturing the chips themselves, and that that was the
- 11 | root cause of the source of the delays, as well as some
- 12 other items which started leading into the pandemic with
- 13 | human resources, shutdowns, and other items.
- 14 \parallel Q When, if you recall, did you begin to realize that the
- 15 | manufacturer was going to have trouble delivering these
- 16 | pieces of equipment to you in a timely manner?
- 17 | A It would have been right around the estimated delivery
- 18 | dates set forth in the contract that we would have been
- 19 | notified for the first time that those were going to be
- 20 | late. And I'd suggest within 30 days of it because that's
- 21 when the remaining 20 percent payment was due was within
- 22 | 30 days of the shipping.
- 23 ||Q Could you help me by pointing out under this contract
- 24 | on Exhibit 16 where the estimated delivery date might be
- 25 || shown?

- 1 A I believe section three speaks to delivery.
- 2 | Q Okay. What was your understanding of the estimated
- 3 | delivery date for these miners?
- 4 | A Section 3.2, seller has an anticipated shipping period
- 5 \parallel of May 15th to June 31st.
- 6 Q And once True North entered into this agreement with
- 7 | the manufacturer, was this estimated delivery date something
- 8 | that you communicated to Compute North?
- 9 A Yes. Those shipping dates should have been
- 10 | reciprocated in the equipment purchase agreement between
- 11 | True North and Compute North.
- 12 | Q Now, you also described that the manufacturer was
- 13 ||experiencing delays. Did you communicate the manufacturer's
- 14 | delays as they were taking place to Compute North?
- 15 | A Yes, we did.
- 16 | Q Would you say -- well let me -- strike that. Did you
- 17 | try to keep Compute North informed on the status of this
- 18 | order and the incremental delays?
- 19 A Yes. We would have passed through information as
- 20 | regularly as it was received from the manufacturer and tried
- 21 | our best to maintain good relations and high degree of
- 22 | communication.
- 23 ||Q Do you feel that you maintained a high degree of
- 24 | communication with Compute North in connection with their
- 25 | order for 600 units?

```
MR. LEYH: Objection, speculation.
1
             MR. BROWN: Your Honor, it's not speculation. I'm
 2
 3
   asking for his own opinion.
 4
              THE COURT: Can you all hear me?
 5
             MR. BROWN: Yes.
 6
              THE COURT: I suddenly lost everything. Hold on
7
    just a moment. The last question I heard was along the
   lines of do you believe you maintained a high degree of
8
 9
    communication with them. I didn't hear the answer to that.
10
             MR. LEYH: Mr. Leyh objected on the basis of
11
    speculation.
              THE COURT: It's really relevance. What's the
12
13
   relevance, Mr. Brown?
14
             MR. BROWN: Simply to point out, Your Honor, that
15
   Compute North was staying informed with how things were
16
    transpiring and True North was trying to obtain these miners
17
    from the manufacturer.
18
             THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
19
             MR. BROWN: Mr. Allard, --
20
              THE COURT: (Indiscernible).
             MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
21
22
   BY MR. BROWN:
2.3
         Let's see, Mr. Allard, could you please refer to Plan
24
   Administrator Exhibit Number 19? And are you there,
25
   Mr. Allard?
```

```
1 A Yes, I am.
```

- $2 \parallel Q$ Is -- so this is an email from you to Mr. Shirole at
- 3 ||Compute North. Is this an example of your keeping Compute
- 4 | North informed on the status of these bitcoin miners and the
- 5 | associated delay?
- 6 A Yes. This is a fair example of the type of
- 7 | communication we would have been sharing at that time.
- 8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I ask that Exhibit Number
- 9 | 19 be admitted into evidence.
- 10 MR. LEYH: No objection.
- 11 | THE COURT: Nineteen is admitted.
- 12 | (Plan Administrator Exhibit Number 19 was received in
- 13 | evidence.)
- 14 MR. BROWN: Thank you.
- 15 BY MR. BROWN:
- 16 Q Now, Mr. Allard, when True North first entered into
- 17 | this agreement with Compute North to provide it 600 units,
- 18 \parallel do you recall where those units were supposed to be
- 19 | delivered?
- 20 MR. LEYH: Objection. The contract addresses that
- 21 | is the best evidence.
- 22 THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer,
- 23 Mr. Allard.
- 24 BY MR. BROWN:
- 25 | A If my memory serves me correctly it was Kearney I

```
believe was the name of the town or the county that it was
1
2
   in. I'd have to refer back to the equipment purchase
3
  agreement.
```

- THE COURT: I'm going to now sustain --
- 5 MR. BROWN: Do --

6

7

8

9

- THE COURT: -- the objection because I think he doesn't really remember. The objection was that (indiscernible) document. And it's one thing if he has a clear memory of it.
- 10 If it's another -- and you're not supposed to memorize everything, Mr. Allard. I'm fine if you don't 11 12 particularly remember exactly where something was to be delivered. 13
- 14 But I'm going to make Mr. Brown go that extra step 15 to prove it up. So I'll strike that answer.
- 16 BY MR. BROWN:
- Mr. Allard, I'd like to turn your attention back to an 17 exhibit that we previously covered. It's Exhibit Number 3. 19 It's the contract between Compute North and your company for 20 the 600 units. All right, if you can refer to the delivery 21 clause under the contract.
- 22 Yeah, Section 3.
- 23 Does this help to refresh your recollection about where 24 the 600 units were originally to be delivered?
- 25 I believe it's just higher than Section 3.5 here.

- 1 | we have here 3.1, the delivery destination, the equipment to
- 2 the buyer's facility is 3215 Global Drive Place in Kearney,
- 3 || Nebraska.
- 4 | Q Okay. And is that in fact to your understanding the
- 5 | location for the delivery of the units as they were
- 6 | initially -- when they were initially ordered?
- $7 \parallel A$ Yes, it is.
- 8 | Q And it says that's to the buyer's facility. Is the
- 9 | buyer here referring to Compute North?
- 10 ||A Yes, it is.
- 11 $\|Q\|$ All right. Now, at some point later on was there a
- 12 | change in the delivery instructions for True North?
- 13 | A Yes. There was an agreement between Compute North and
- 14 | the Merchants that this equipment would be delivered to an
- 15 | Alberta facility.
- 16 | Q Can you explain who the Merchants are?
- 17 A It's my understanding the Merchants are the principals
- 18 | of Bobs Limited.
- 19 $\|Q\|$ What can you tell us about that agreement?
- 20 A The agreement was for True North Data Solutions to
- 21 provide a hosting services environment for that equipment.
- 22 | Q Which equipment are you referring to?
- 23 \parallel A The 300 units in question along with some other
- 24 | equipment that was already landed in North America.
- 25 | Q Okay. And you mentioned that in addition to True North

- providing hosting services for the units, they'd also be delivered to a different address; is that right?
- 3 A Yes, that is correct.
- 4 ||Q Tell me more about that. How was that logistically
- 5 going to happen?
- 6 A When the equipment for the Minerva equipment would have
- 7 | been deemed available for shipping from the manufacturer,
- 8 | True North would have revised the shipping address for that
- 9 | equipment to go to its new location.
- 10 \mathbb{Q} And would the new location be a True North facility?
- 11 A Yes, that is correct.
- 12 | Q And that is in Alberta, Canada, correct?
- 13 A At that time it was, yes. That has since in agreement
- 14 | changed to a Texas location.
- 15 \parallel Q And is that Texas location also a True North facility?
- 16 A That is a joint venture facility that True North has an
- 17 || interest in.
- 18 ||Q Does Compute North have an interest in that joint
- 19 | venture?
- 20 || A No, they do not.
- 21 \mathbb{Q} So once the units -- excuse me, let me start over,
- 22 | please. Once the location for delivery of the 300 units for
- 23 | Bobs Limited was changed from Compute North's Kearney
- 24 | address to True North's Alberta address, is it true that
- 25 | those units would not be delivered to Compute North but

- 1 | instead would go directly from the manufacturer to True
- 2 || North?
- $3 \parallel A$ Yes, that is correct.
- $4 \parallel Q$ I'd like to turn your attention Exhibit 11, please.
- 5 \parallel Mr. Allard, do you recall when the transition -- I'll call
- 6 || it transition or what you're just describing, when it was
- 7 decided that these units would be delivered directly to True
- 8 | North and True North would provide hosting services; do you
- 9 | remember when that arrangement came about?
- 10 $\|A\|$ If I recall correctly it was the fall of 2021.
- 11 | Q All right. If you look at Exhibit Number 11, it's an
- 12 email between you, Mr. Shirole from Compute North, and
- 13 | someone named -- someone's email address Matthew at get dot
- 14 ||love; do you see that?
- 15 | A Yes, I do.
- 16 Q Can you tell me does this email chain reflect this new
- 17 | arrangement that you were just describing?
- 18 | A Yes, it does.
- 19 \mathbb{Q} Who is the person with the email address Matthew at get
- 20 | dot love?
- 21 | A To my knowledge that is Mr. Matthew Merchant.
- 22 | Q And please remind me, what is Mr. Matthew Merchant's
- 23 | connection to Bobs Limited, if any?
- 24 || A I don't have visibility on what the formal attachment
- 25 | is but he was a principal of the communication for managing

- the affairs on behalf of either Bobs or other entities that got engaged in hosting agreements with True North.
- 3 Q And to your understanding which entity was Mr. Merchant 4 communicating on behalf of in this email chain here?
- 5 A We came to understand this through Compute North that 6 that is the Bobs Limited entity.
- And your email here at the bottom is asking for confirmation to this new arrangement. And right above that there is the email from Mr. Merchant that appears to be confirming. I don't see a similar email from Compute North.

 Do you recall receiving confirmation that Compute North had agreed to this change in the arrangement?
- 13 A I do not, don't recall whether that was approved via 14 text, verbally, or by email.
- Do you recall receiving confirmation at all from Compute North?
- 17 A Yes, confirmation would have been received from Compute 18 North.
- 19 Q So this new arrangement, is there a corresponding new 20 contract or other agreement that was written and signed?
- 21 | A As an amendment to the Compute North and Bobs
 22 | transaction or new agreement between Merchants and True
 23 | North?
- 24 Q Either one. Are you aware of any written agreement 25 that was drafted and executed as a result of this change in

- 1 how these miners were to be delivered and who was to provide 2 hosting services?
- 3 | A Yes, (indiscernible) --
- MR. LEYH: Objection. He wouldn't have knowledge of what Compute North did necessarily, so calls for speculation.
 - MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'm only asking for from True North's perspective. True North would have been involved both on the order side with Compute North and on the -- this new transition to working directly with Bobs Limited. So I don't see how Mr. Allard would not have personal knowledge.
- THE COURT: I'm just going to get you to reword
 the question and see where we go with the reworded question,

 Mr. Brown.
- 16 BY MR. BROWN:

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Mr. Allard, so this new arrangement here as you described has True North providing hosting services for the 300 units that are to be delivered to Bobs Limited and delivered to True North's address in Alberta instead of the Kearney address. Was there any written agreement prepared to memorialize those terms?
- A Yes. There was the hosting agreement struck between True North and a company or entity by the name of Terra International that would include those 300 units to be

- 1 | hosted within the True North facility.
- 2 \parallel Q Okay. Does that agreement -- so is that between True
- 3 || North and another entity, Terra International?
- 4 | A Correct.
- $5 \parallel Q$ Is Terra International related in any way to Bobs
- 6 | Limited?
- 7 | A Other than through who communicates on behalf of that
- 8 | company, which are the Merchants, I do not know.
- 9 Q Does that agreement between True North and Terra
- 10 | International specifically reference these 300 miners?
- 11 | A It does reference 300 Minerva miners, yes.
- 12 Q Does that agreement also talk about where those 300
- 13 | miners are to be delivered?
- 14 | A Yes, it does.
- 15 \parallel Q Is Compute North a party to that agreement?
- 16 $\|A\|$ No, they are not.
- 17 | Q Did True North share the agreement or invite Compute
- 18 | North to participate in negotiations over the agreement with
- 19 | Terra International?
- 20 MR. LEYH: Objection, calls for speculation.
- 21 MR. BROWN: Not at all, Your Honor. I asked him
- 22 || if True North communicated with Compute North about this new
- 23 | agreement.
- 24 | THE COURT: Overrule the objection. You can
- 25 | answer, Mr. Allard.

```
1 BY MR. BROWN:
```

- 2 | A I don't recall exactly what the communication would or
- 3 | would not have been at that time other than to say, you
- 4 | know, until this day I don't -- didn't see a need to include
- 5 || Compute North in that beyond the email confirmation that it
- 6 was agreed the equipment would be shipped to an Alberta
- 7 | facility for True North to provide hosting services for.
- 8 | Whether there was a verbal or text communication, I cannot
- 9 | recall at this time. I apologize. I don't remember.
- 10 | Q All right. Now just to be clear, this is in November,
- 11 | 2021, none of these 300 miners had been delivered by the
- 12 | manufacturer, correct?
- 13 | A That is correct.
- 14 | Q Could you explain a little bit more about why you felt
- 15 | that Compute North did not need to get involved in this new
- 16 | agreement that True North had with Terra International?
- 17 | THE COURT: What's the relevance of that,
- 18 Mr. Brown?
- 19 | MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I do not have the -- a
- 20 | copy of this written agreement but I'm just trying to
- 21 ||understand Compute North's connection or involvement with
- 22 | the 300 miners in light of this new agreement that True
- 23 | North reached with Terra International.
- 24 | THE COURT: Isn't the only question
- 25 (indiscernible) here whether Mr. Merchant was speaking for

```
Bobs? Because if he was speaking for Bobs (indiscernible)
1
   should go to Terra? That's one thing.
 2
 3
              If he wasn't speaking for Bobs and was speaking
   not for Bobs, I don't see how Bobs gets hung with that
 4
   problem. So isn't the only thing I need to worry about as
 6
   to the 300 whether Mr. Merchant had authority acting for
   Bobs on this transaction?
7
             MR. BROWN: Certainly, Your Honor, --
8
 9
             THE COURT: (Indiscernible).
10
             MR. BROWN: -- I agree with you. That is
11
    (indiscernible) --
12
              THE COURT: (Indiscernible) I don't know why it's
13
   relevant other than we got to figure out Mr. Merchant's
14
   authority. And I don't know that -- I mean, maybe
15
   Mr. Allard knows it. Maybe (indiscernible) know Merchant or
    (indiscernible) Terra for Bobs, he just knew Merchant was
16
    there and (indiscernible) what do you know about
17
18
   Mr. Merchant's legal relationship with Bobs and legal
19
   relationship with Terra?
20
              THE WITNESS: Is that a --
21
             THE COURT: Do you know?
22
              THE WITNESS: -- question for me, Your Honor?
23
              THE COURT: Yes, sir.
24
              THE WITNESS: As far as the legal relationship
25
   between Matthew Merchant and either of the entities, Bobs
```

```
Limited or Terra International, I do not have any visibility
1
   with respect to the legal responsibilities. To my
 2
 3
   knowledge, Mr. Tony Merchant --
 4
              THE COURT: That's fair. No, that's what I
 5
    thought you were saying. I just wanted to be sure.
 6
              How are we going to tie this down, Mr. Brown?
7
   do need to get a full understanding and I don't want to end
   up guessing. Is that going to come in through Mr. Leyh's
8
 9
   witness or do you have a witness that's going to tie down
10
   Merchant's authority to act for Bobs?
              MR. BROWN: We're going to have to get that from
11
12
   Mr. Merchant, Your Honor.
13
             MR. SPEAKER: Except --
14
             THE COURT: Is he testifying today or --
15
             MR. BROWN: Bobs Limited's representative is here
16
    and I believe is available as a witness.
17
             Your Honor, I also have some contract evidence
18
   where Bobs Limited entered into this original order with
19
    Compute North and it's signed by Mr. Merchant on behalf of
20
   Bobs Limited, so there's that.
21
              THE COURT: Okay.
22
             MR. BROWN: I guess, Your Honor, I understand your
23
   concern here. It's not as big of a concern at least
24
    initially for me because I know that there's documentation
25
    to support it and witness testimony. But I appreciate your
```

```
concern at this juncture of the testimony.
1
2
              THE COURT: Okay. I'm -- I just don't want to
   head off into left field when I'm -- I just -- I
 3
 4
    (indiscernible) evidence has shown so far. And I know
   Mr. Leyh has to cross examine him. If there is an email
 6
   from Mr. Merchant that says deliver them to Alberta, as to
   whether he was acting for Bobs when he sent that, I can't
7
   tell. And Mr. Allard would have to guess I think as to what
9
    -- who Mr. Merchant was acting for.
10
              So that's why I think a lot of this other stuff
   may not be terribly relevant; because if Mr. Merchant was
11
12
   acting for Bobs, then it's over as to (indiscernible), I
13
   think. But if he wasn't, I also think it's over for
14
    (indiscernible), Mr. Leyh, am I missing an issue here?
15
             MR. LEYH: No, sir. I think you're dead-on.
              THE COURT: Mr. Brown, do you think I'm missing an
16
17
   issue?
18
             MR. BROWN: If I'm understanding your thinking,
   Your Honor, I think it's an important issue. I would like
19
20
   to ask Mr. Allard one more question about this email before
21
    I move on.
22
              THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
23
   BY MR. BROWN:
```

refer you to the particular email here that is from

Mr. Allard, let's see, about -- it's -- I would like to

24

```
Mr. Merchant to you at 2:40 in the morning that begins with,
1
   good morning, gents; do you see that?
 2
         Yes, I do.
 3
         Okay. The first really -- or the only really paragraph
 4
   here is about three or four lines from Mr. Merchant to you.
 6
   It says: "I confirm and acknowledge and agree to the
   transition of the 300 Minervas to True North."
7
8
         And then this is what I want to highlight. It says:
 9
    "I confirm that we," and I want to underline for you the
10
   word "we," "want the 300 Minervas which we," and I want to
    again underline the word "we," "ordered through Compute
11
12
   North to be sent directly to True North."
13
         So if you know, who do you believe Mr. Merchant was
   referring to when he used the word "we?"
14
15
        My understanding is he would be referring to either
   principals or executives of the entity who purchased the
16
   equipment from Compute North, which I understand to be Bobs
17
18
   Limited.
19
             MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'd like to offer
20
   Exhibit 11 into evidence.
              THE COURT: Any objection?
21
22
              MR. LEYH: No objection.
23
              THE COURT: Exhibit 11 is admitted.
24
         (Plan Administrator Exhibit Number 11 was received in
```

evidence.)

```
1 (Pause)
2 MR. BROWN: All right, Your Honor, one minute
```

4 BY MR. BROWN:

3

here, I'm sorry.

- Now, Mr. Allard, that was in November, 2021 when the transition occurred. Do you recall if any of those 300
- 7 | miners that were earmarked for Bobs Limited or Terra
- 8 | International had been delivered by the manufacturer by
- 9 | December 2021?
- MR. LEYH: I'm sorry, Mr. Brown, I didn't
 understand what -- part of what you said. Could you repeat
 that, please? Sorry.
- MR. BROWN: Yeah. Okay.
- 14 BY MR. BROWN:
- 15 | Q Following the transition confirmation in November,
- 16 2021, Mr. Allard, do you recall if by the time of December,
- 17 2021 any of the miners there were -- that were earmarked for
- 18 | Bobs Limited or Terra International, any of those 300 units
- 19 | had been delivered by the manufacturer?
- 20 A No, they had not.
- 21 | Q I want to turn your attention to exhibit -- Plan
- 22 | Administrator Exhibit Number 13.
- 23 | (Pause)
- 24 | A Okay.
- $25 \parallel Q$ Okay. On page three of this document, which is an

```
email chain, I want to refer you to your email to Compute
North representatives on December 28th at 11:14 p.m. where
```

- 3 | it begins, Brenna, Scott will continue; do you see that?
- 4 A Yes, I do.
- 5 \parallel Q And is this consistent with your recollection that none
- 6 of the miners from the 600-unit order had been delivered as
- 7 of late December, 2021?
- 8 | A Yes, that is my understanding.
- 9 ||Q| And is it also your understanding that of those 600
- 10 units, 300 were to be delivered to the Merchant group and
- 11 | 300 to Compute North; is that right?
- 12 | A Yes, that is accurate.
- 13 \parallel Q And the 300 units to the Merchant group, that's what we
- 14 were just discussing; is that right?
- 15 |A| Yes, it is.
- 16 MR. BROWN: I'd like to offer Exhibit 13 into
- 17 | evidence.
- 18 | THE COURT: Any objection?
- 19 MR. LEYH: No.
- 20 | THE COURT: It's admitted.
- 21 | (Plan Administrator Exhibit Number 13 was received in
- 22 | evidence.)
- 23 | BY MR. BROWN:
- 24 Q Now, Mr. Allard, going into 2022, is it true that the
- 25 manufacturer still had not delivered any of the miners under

- 1 | the 600-unit order?
- 2 \parallel A To my recollection I believe there was a small number
- $3 \parallel$ of units delivered to Compute North. I do not recall what
- 4 | the total order volume was.
- $5 \parallel Q$ Okay. So in 2022, we've already had the transition
- 6 where of those 600 units, the 300 that were for Bobs Limited
- 7 | are no longer being delivered to Compute North; is that
- 8 || right?
- 9 | A Correct.
- 10 \mathbb{Q} So to the extent that any of the 600 units were
- 11 | delivered to Compute North, would those have been units for
- 12 Bobs Limited or units for another customer not related to
- 13 | Bobs Limited?
- 14 | A Those would have been unrelated to Bobs Limited.
- 15 $\|Q\|$ So after the transition where now True North is going
- 16 | to be providing hosting services and the units are going to
- 17 | be going to True North's facility, who did you believe was
- 18 | responsible for ensuring that the manufacturer delivered
- 19 | those 300 units to True North?
- 20 MR. LEYH: Objection, calls for speculation.
- 21 | THE COURT: Sustained.
- 22 BY MR. BROWN:
- 23 ||Q Mr. Allard, who was responsible for ensuring that the
- 24 | manufacturer delivered the 300 units to True North after you
- 25 | had arranged with Terra International for those 300 units to

```
be delivered to a True North facility directly?
1
             MR. LEYH: Objection. I didn't understand the
 2
 3
   question. I -- sorry.
 4
             THE COURT: Well, --
 5
             MR. BROWN: I --
 6
              THE COURT: -- I'm going to raise my own. Asking
7
   for a legal conclusion, Mr. Brown.
8
             MR. BROWN: Mr. Allard, --
 9
              THE WITNESS: Mr. Brown, would you like
10
    (indiscernible) --
11
              THE COURT: (Indiscernible) answering until we get
12
   beyond that objection. Mr. Brown.
13
             MR. BROWN: I'm going to ask a new question, Your
14
   Honor.
15
             THE COURT: Thank you.
   BY MR. BROWN:
16
17
        Mr. Allard, did you tell -- sorry, let me start over.
18
   Did you tell Mr. Merchant that True North was responsible
   for these 300 miners?
19
20
         I'm trying to think back through our hosting services
21
   agreement. To my knowledge there was never a direct
22
   conversation on who was responsible for delivery of the
23
   equipment, with the exception that my underlying
   responsibility as selling that equipment to Compute North,
24
25
    there's certainly a responsibility there on behalf of True
```

```
North to deliver equipment. But I don't recall any
 1
    independent specific conversation about these 300 units
 2
 3
    after the group decision to relocate them to an Alberta
    facility.
 4
 5
         Mr. Allard, could you turn your attention to Plan
   Administrator Exhibit Number 20? And let me know once
 6
 7
    you've had a chance to review that email.
 8
        (Pause)
 9
        Yes, I've reviewed it.
10
        All right. Does this email refresh your memory about
11
    whether you told Mr. Merchant that True North would be
   responsible for the 300 miners?
12
13
        Yes, it does.
         Okay. And so after reviewing this email, what is your
14
    answer? My question is, did you tell Mr. Merchant that True
15
   North would accept responsibility for the 300 miners?
16
17
        Yes, we did.
18
              MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'd like to offer
   Exhibit 20 in evidence.
19
20
              THE COURT: Any objection?
              MR. LEYH: No objection, Your Honor.
21
22
              THE COURT: 20 is admitted.
23
         (Plan Administrator Exhibit Number 20 was received in
    evidence.)
24
25
   BY MR. BROWN:
```

```
Mr. Allard, did Bobs -- or, excuse me, did True North
1
   ever receive any of these 300 units from the manufacturer?
 2
        No, it did not.
 3
         Could you explain to the Court your efforts on behalf
 4
 5
   of True North to try to get these 300 units delivered by the
 6
   manufacturer?
7
              MR. LEYH: Objection, leading, it's leading.
              THE COURT: What's the relevance?
8
 9
              MR. BROWN: Okay. Well, Your Honor, only just to
10
    show that -- try to highlight the reason for why these units
   were never delivered, and specifically to highlight that it
11
   was -- had nothing to do with Compute North actions or
12
   inactions.
13
14
              THE COURT: That's relevant so I'm going to allow
15
   it. Go ahead. I'm going to also overrule the leading
    objection.
16
17
              You can answer, Mr. Allard.
18
              THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question,
   please?
19
20
              MR. BROWN: Sure.
21
   BY MR. BROWN:
22
        Mr. Allard, could you describe to the Court your
2.3
   efforts on behalf of True North to obtain these 300 miners
```

Alberta, Canada location? What efforts did True North take

that are now supposed to be delivered directly to your

24

```
to get those miners delivered from the manufacturer?
 1
 2
              MR. LEYH: Objection, Your Honor, relevance. We
 3
    didn't get them, True North didn't get them. What -- you
    know, somebody tried to get them, great. What difference
 4
 5
    does it make? They didn't show up?
 6
              THE COURT: Well, can you stipulate that from and
 7
    after January 12 of 2022 that the Debtor did not interfere
   with the delivery in any way?
 9
              MR. LEYH: No, because I don't know that.
10
              THE COURT: I'm just saying that's what he said
11
    the relevance was. He wants to prove that the Debtor, who
12
    is, again assuming the proof of claim got filed, did not
13
    stop True North from taking over the delivery.
14
              Let me just ask that. Mr. Allard, after January
15
    12th of 2022, is there anything that the Debtor did, that
    Compute North did, to interfere with the delivery by the
16
   manufacturer to you or to the Merchant group?
17
18
              THE WITNESS: Specifically to True North, no.
19
    With respect to Bobs, I would be unaware of any efforts or
20
   not there.
              THE COURT: Mr. Brown, if you -- I do think it's
21
22
    relevant although I think there's a limit to the relevance
23
   and whatever the testimony is, it is what it is.
24
              MR. BROWN: I understand, Your Honor. Let's see.
```

Just a couple more questions.

THE COURT: Again, to sort of wear my concerns on my sleeve here, I don't know (indiscernible) let's assume that Mr. Merchant -- and now I'm going to change the assumption. I'm going to assume (indiscernible) was representing Bobs at this stage.

I don't know whether this absolves the Debtor from its duty anyway. It may have. But I don't know from a law point of view what this does to Compute North's duties. And that's certainly not a question for Mr. Allard. But his company said they would do it. That may not relieve your company of liability. And I (indiscernible) get to that answer very easily, just to tell you (indiscernible) --

MR. BROWN: Well, I think --

THE COURT: -- are right now.

MR. BROWN: I understand, Your Honor. And that is one of the heart -- if there's more than one heart, that's certainly one of the hearts to this matter. I agree it's a legal issue.

To the extent that, you know, what I want to show Your Honor is that True North was working toward trying to obtain these miners from the manufacturer. And that I then want to show that Mr. Merchant got involved to some extent in those efforts just to show, you know, that neither of them was involving Compute North in the process at this point or going forward.

1 THE COURT: Yeah.

2 | BY MR. BROWN:

2.3

Q So, Mr. Allard, just generally can you explain did you keep on the manufacturer to try to get these miners --

basically what were you doing to try to get these miners?

A So from the logistical perspective of delivering the miners which Bobs had purchased from Compute North, True North on behalf of all of its clients who purchased miners, such as Compute North, were unilateral and un-agnostic (phonetic) to specific clients.

We exhausted all of our personal relationships with executives and decision makers within Minerva and affiliates. We regularly communicated with attempted voice calls, text messages, emails.

When that tactic proved to be unfruitful, we got engaged with legal counsel who issued demand letters, eventually statements of claim, against Minerva and its affiliates for all of the undelivered equipment for which True North had obligations to its clients for.

Q Thank you. Could you keep Compute North updated on your efforts in 2022 and beyond to procure these units from the manufacturer?

A There were no inquiries from Compute North for updates.

I do believe at some point those communications would have slowed down given Compute North's issues which you folks are

```
1 discussing.
```

- Q Are you referring to the bankruptcy filing?
- $3 \parallel A$ Yes, I am.
- 4 | Q Okay. What about Mr. Merchant; did you keep
- $5 \parallel Mr$. Merchant updated in your efforts to procure this
- 6 | equipment from the manufacturer?
- 7 MR. LEYH: Objection, Your Honor, relevance.
- 8 | We've gone way beyond the contract that my client had with
- 9 | Compute North. The contract's not assignable, except with
- 10 | prior written consent. There is none. Now we're off in the
- 11 | weeds about what did Mr. Allard do in regard to trying to
- 12 get the manufacturers to move. And so what? We don't have
- 13 | a contract with either one of them. Enough.
- MR. BROWN: Your Honor, --
- 15 | THE COURT: I'm going to allow us to go there.
- 16 | But I got to tell you, I feel very misled by what's happened
- 17 | in this case, Mr. Brown. The Declaration that got filed as
- 18 part of the objection appears palpably false. Why am I
- 19 || getting that? How'd I get that Declaration?
- 20 MR. LEYH: You got that, --
- 21 || MR. BROWN: I'm --
- 22 MR. LEYH: -- Your Honor, because I filed an
- 23 | objection to it and said it's false.
- 24 | THE COURT: No, I previously barred Mr. Mersch
- 25 | from filing Declarations until he got his act together. And

```
now I get a Declaration that says something that the
 1
 2
   evidence appears to me he was just not being truthful. What
 3
   is going on that I'm getting these kind of things? I am --
   have you read his Declaration?
 4
 5
             MR. LEYH: Your Honor, I may have misspoke. His
 6
   Declaration was attached to the claim objection. It's not
 7
    something --
 8
             THE COURT: That's right.
 9
             MR. LEYH: -- (indiscernible) filed last week.
10
              THE COURT: No, I know that. It's attached to the
11
    claim objection. And it doesn't appear to be accurate.
12
             MR. LEYH:
                         That's what my objection to his
13
   Declaration says, it's false.
14
              THE COURT: Under penalty of perjury. He's done
15
   this to you before. Why am I getting this again?
16
              MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I can respond, we are
   not relying in any capacity on that Declaration. We are
17
18
   relying on the evidence that I'm putting before the Court --
19
              THE COURT: You filed it as part of your
20
   objection.
21
             MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I may --
22
              THE COURT: Tribolet has not modified an objection
   to this (indiscernible) and attached false Declaration, and
23
24
    I want to know why.
25
             MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I apologize. The Debtors
```

had filed the objection before Mr. Tribolet was appointed as Plan Administrator.

THE COURT: (Indiscernible) adopting the objection and living with it and he hasn't changed it.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I apologize. I can only tell the Court that we are not relying on that Declaration. We're relying on the evidence --

THE COURT: I don't care if you're relying (indiscernible), you're relying on the objection. And the objection attaches the Declaration. And you didn't file an amended objection.

And I'm sitting here learning all this information for the first time today because I had a Declaration that was palpably false. And you didn't get it fixed. How long is it going to take you to file an amended objection that you're willing to stand on your objection?

And I want to know what this fight's about before I'm just having all this evidence unfold before me having nothing to do with really what's in the objection. I get totally surprised today. And it's not the way that we should be conducting business. How long do you want to get that amended?

MR. BROWN: I apologize, Your Honor. I would be more than happy to file an amended Declaration. We can do it very quickly or very --

```
THE COURT: I don't want an amended Declaration.
 1
 2
    I want an objection filed by Mr. Tribolet that he's willing
 3
    to live with that amends the prior objection. He has to
 4
    live with everything in it because he adopted this one by
 5
   proceeding today. And I am not happy.
 6
              MR. SPEAKER: Oh, God.
 7
          (Mr. Leyh/Mr. Speaker confer.)
 8
              THE COURT: Can you get an amended one filed in
 9
    two weeks?
10
              MR. BROWN: Your Honor, --
              THE COURT: (Indiscernible).
11
              MR. BROWN: -- we can get one filed in one week.
12
13
              THE COURT: Okay. Not later than one week from
14
    today I'm going to get an amended objection.
15
              Mr. Leyh, I'm going to give you another week to
16
    respond to the amended objection.
17
              I need to know what the fight's about before I
18
    start going any further into the evidentiary record. At
19
    every turn I get -- I learn something new. And that ought
20
    to be in the pleadings, not where we are today. I'll review
21
    all this on the 25th, take a look at where we are.
22
              Can you respond in a week if they amend in a week,
23
   Mr. Leyh?
24
              MR. LEYH: Yes, I can, Your Honor. Might I
25
    address something --
```

```
THE COURT: Go ahead.
 1
              MR. LEYH: Matthew Merchant is here today. He is
 2
 3
    here today because we thought we had an in-person hearing.
 4
    We don't now. And he came from Europe. And rather than
 5
    come from Europe again in a couple of weeks, would it be all
 6
    right if he appeared virtually next time?
 7
              THE COURT: Yes, sir, that would be fine.
 8
              MR. LEYH: Okay. And so one week for --
 9
              THE COURT: The 18th I'm going to get an amended
10
    objection, 25th an amended answer. We'll review everything
11
    on the 26th and we'll set you for a further hearing.
12
              Thank you all.
              MR. LEYH: The 26th will be like a status
13
14
    conference and we'll get set.
              THE COURT: No. I'll just review it in chambers
15
16
    on the 26th. I'll review it in chambers on the 26th and
17
    then we'll get you a new setting shortly after that.
18
              And, yes, he can attend by video.
19
              MR. LEYH:
                        Thank you.
20
              THE COURT:
                         Thank you.
21
              MR. BROWN: Thank you.
22
              THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Brown, thank you,
23
   Mr. Leyh.
24
              Mr. Allard, thank you, sir.
25
              THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
```

(Proceeding adjourned at 3:01 p.m.) I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript to the best of my ability due to the condition of the electronic sound recording of the ZOOM/video/telephonic proceedings in the above-entitled matter. /S/ MARY D. HENRY CERTIFIED BY THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF ELECTRONIC REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS, CET**337 JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC JTT TRANSCRIPT #67687 DATE FILED: OCTOBER 2, 2023